

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,646	02/09/2001	Hubert Dorn	Beiersdorf 9265.5-KGB	1049
7	590 04/03/2002			
Kurt G. Briscoe, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus, P.A. 30th Floor 220 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017			ROBINSON, ALLEN JAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/780,646

Applicant(s)

Dorn et al.

Examiner

Allen J. Robinson

Art Unit 1616



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period fo		
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the p		s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failure - Any rej		y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 27, 2</u>	2002
2a) 💢 🛭	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	on of Claims	
4) 💢 (Claim(s) 10-15	is/are pending in the application.
4a	ı) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 (Claim(s) <u>10-15</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆 (Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	on Papers	
9)□ Т	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗌 1	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) 🗆 🛭	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)□ 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗌	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1.	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.
2.	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachmen		
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Serial Number: 09/780,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

The amendment filed February 27, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent 0,285,985 (B') and European Patent 0,259,738 (J), all of record and for reasons of record as set forth in paper number 3, pages 2 and 3. Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered; however, they are not deemed persuasive. Applicants argue that the prior art of record at the time the present invention was made, persons skilled in the art would not have considered the non-systemic application of the claimed compounds to control ectoparasitic insects on animals or humans. This argument is not well since the prior art clearly teaches the that the claim designated pyridinylmethyl & thiazolylmethyl heterocyclic compounds, analogues, and isomers thereof are known insecticides, effective against insects of the type claimed and may be applied in a non-systemic control method such as dermal application. For example see the European Patent 0,285,985 (B') reference, page 7, lines 55-38, wherein reference to all kinds of non-systemic control methods such as dermal application is set forth; and page 7, line 11 refers to "Siphonaptera" which are fleas. In view of the above prior art it is not apparent how the nonsystemic application of the same compounds to the skin of animals differs from the dermal application of the same compounds to the skin of animals as taught by the prior art. Clearly the

Serial Number: 09/780,646 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

above prior art teaches the application of the claim designated compounds applied topically to animals rendering the instant claims unpatentable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4524.

AJR April 1, 2002